

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,672	04/12/2006	Matthias Franz	10191/3945	1703
26646 7590 07721/2909 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			BEKELE, MEKONEN T	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/542.672 FRANZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MEKONEN BEKELE 2624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 May 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/542,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 15-34 are pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 3 7 CFR 1.114.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.1 14, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 14. Applicant's submission filed on 01/27/2009 has been entered.

Priority

 Acknowledgement is made of application's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on the Germany patent application No.10301898.0 filed on 01/17/2003

Drawings

4. The drawings are filed on 07/18/2005 are accepted for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained thought the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this site, if the difference between the subject matter sought to be prior at are such this prior at are such this prior at a such that the such as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the object of the prior in which the invention was made to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner; in which the invention was made.

 Claims 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 as being unpatentable over Szeliski, Richard (hereafter Szeliski) WO 0078038 A1 published on December 21, 2000, in view of Lin; Qian (hereafter Li), US Patent No US 5812286 A published on Sep.22, 1998.

As to claim 15, Szeliski teaches A method for adjusting a characteristic curve of exposure sensitivity (Abstract, A system and method for manipulating a set of images of a static scene captured at different exposures to yield a composite image with improved uniformity in exposure and tone. The cumulative distribution function is then used to determine new pixel brightness levels for use in generating the composite image. The characteristic curve corresponds to the cumulative distribution function curve (see Fig.8A)) of at least one pixel of at least one image sensor (Abstract: desired composite image can be produced by summing the pixel brightness levels across the multiple images captured by adjusting the up and down exposure sensors of the camera 55. The image sensor corresponds to the exposure sensors of the camera), in a motor vehicle, the characteristic curve being formed in segments of functions (Abstract: histogram equalization involves creating a count of the number of pixels sets having the same summed brightness level. From this count, a cumulative distribution function is computed. Thus the cumulative distribution function is a piecewise continuous (segmented) functions) the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

adjusting the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity as a function of image signals (page 13 lines 8-18; histogram equalization is a process for mapping pixel brightness values of an image to approximate a uniform distribution. Thus, distribution function is adjusted based on the image signal produced by the camera 26) from at least a part of the scene registered (Figs. 1A-IC: FIGS. 1A through 1C are images depicting an office scene captured at different exposure settings) by the at least one image sensor (page 8 lines 8-18, a camera 55 capable of capturing a sequence of images 56 with different exposures by adjusting its the up and down the exposure sensors. The image sensor corresponds to the up and down exposure sensors of the Kodak DCS-488 camera (see page 1 line 25) so that the following is satisfied:

the gray value density (Fig. 9A, the slope of the characteristic curve) of at least a part of the histogram of image signals from the at least one image sensor of the at least one part of the registered scene is approximately constant (Fig. 9A. page 3 lines 5-10, the slope of the characteristic curve is approximately. It is well known that the aim of histogram equalization is that obtaining an equally-gray value distribution density. In mathematic terms the density is the slope of the cumulative distribution function. Therefore, the derivative of the cumulative distribution function (CDF) gives the probability density function (PDF) or the gray value density function of the histogram. Therefore, the gray value-density corresponds to the slope of the cumulative distribution function (CDF). In addition the slope of CDF graph (see Fig. 8B) is approximately constant since the graph is approximately linear. Therefore, the gray value density is also approximately constant).

However it is noted that Szeliski does not specifically teach "a frequency of the gray values which is a number of pixels within an image that have the gray values based on a total number of pixels of at least a part of the histogram of image signals from the at least one image.

Art Unit: 2624

Szeliski strongly suggests the histogram equalization involves creating a count of the number of pixels sets having the same summed brightness level (page 3 lines 5-18 and lines 28-22). If the images are black and white, the pixel gray level could be used. The frequency of the gray values corresponds to the number of pixels sets having the same summed brightness level), signals from the at least one image sensor(page 1 lines 21-25, the two stop up and down exposure sensors of the Kodak camera) of the at least one part of the registered scene (Fig. 1A-1C: FIGS. 1A through 1C are images depicting an office scene captured at different exposure settings) is approximately constant (page 1 lines 10-11, The office scene captured at different exposure settings are static (constant) scene taken at different exposures);

On the other hand the automatic color processing to correct hue shift and incorrect exposure of Lin teaches a frequency of the gray values which is a number of pixels within an image that have the gray values based on a total number of pixels of at least a part of the histogram of image signals from the at least one image sensor of the at least one part of the registered scene is approximately constant (Figs. 3-5,8, Fig. 4 illustrates histogram of a scanned image, Fig. 5 illustrates a <u>cumulative gray level histogram</u> of the scanned image, and Fig.8 illustrates <u>the histogram of the corrected image shown</u> in FIG. 5.

Further both the histogram graph (Fig. 4) and the histogram of the corrected image graph (Fig.8) are plotted <u>pixel count verse gray level</u>. Further Fig. 4 and Fig. 8 contain linear curves with very gentle <u>slope (almost zero slope)</u>, and clearly the <u>pixel count is approximately</u> constant where the <u>slope of the histogram graph is gentle</u>).

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of invention was made to incorporate the automatic color processing to correct hue shift and incorrect exposure of Lin into the system and process for improving the uniformity of the exposure and tone of a digital image, because both Szeliski and Lin are directed to color correction of digital display media such as digital camera and scanner based on histogram of the acquired image(Line: Abstract, Szeliski :Abstract, page 3 lines 20-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of automatically correcting based on the histogram of the acquired image, because that would have allowed user of Szeliski to correct hue shift and incorrect exposure in scanner and digital camera, more efficiently(Lin col. 1 lines 52-55).

As to claim 16, Szeliski teaches the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity is adjusted as a function of image signals (page 14 lines 16-17, a cumulative distribution function is computed using the summed brightness histogram where the histogram function is obtained from the signal generated by the camera 56. The characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to the cumulative distribution function) from at least a part of the scene registered by the at least one image sensor (Fig. 1A-IC, page 4 lines 23-24, 1A through IC are images depicting an office scene captured at different exposure settings. The image sensor corresponds to the up and down exposure sensors of the Kodak DCS-488 camera (see page 1 line 25)), so that, when a gray value wedge having two segments with different gradients of the gray values is registered as the scene (Fig. 1A-IC, page 4 lines 23-24, the office scene captured at different exposure settings have different gradients of the gray values) the at least one image sensor generates an image nearly free of apparent contours (Fig. 6, page 5 lines 8-1 1, FIG. 6 is a composite image produced from

Art Unit: 2624

the bracketed images(set of images of a static scene captured at different exposures) of the office scene of FIGS. 1A through 1C that exhibits a more uniform exposure and tone than any of the bracket images. The image nearly free of apparent contours corresponds to the composite image Fig. 6).

As to claim 17, Szeliski teaches the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (Fig. 9A, page 5 lines 23, the graph of a cumulative distribution function) is adjusted as a function of a determined optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (Figs. 9A -9C page 5 lines 27-29, a blended cumulative distribution function FIG. 9C is produced by blending the cumulative distribution function FIG. 9A with the straight line function FIG. 9B. The determined optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to blended cumulative distribution function FIG. 9C), including a determined characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity which is optimal according to information theory (Fig.1B, a straight line distribution function generates uniform distribution. Thus, theoretical distribution function optimal according to information theory corresponds to the straight line distribution function corresponds), at least one of the optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (Fig.9c) and the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity which is optimal according to information theory (Fig. 9B) being determined as a function of image signals (Figs. 9A-9C, the Figs. 9A through 9C are plotted as a function of image signal generated by the camera56) from the at least one image sensor (page 5 line 31 and page 6 lines1-2, Fig. 18A is a composite image produced from the bracketed images of the office scene of FIGS. 1A through 1C using the up and down exposure sensors of the Kodak DCS-488 camera (see page 1 line 25). The image sensor corresponds to the up and down exposure sensors of the camera 56).

As to claims 18, Szeliski teaches determining the optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity as a function of a histogram of the gray values (page 13 lines 8-9, page 14 lines 16-17, histogram equalization is a process for mapping pixel brightness values of an image to approximate a uniform distribution. A cumulative distribution function is computed using the summed brightness histogram to determine the uniform distribution. Further if the images are black and white, then the standard pixel gray levels would preferably be used as the measure of pixel brightness (see page 16 lines 26-28). The characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to the cumulative distribution function curve (Fig.9A), and the optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to Fig. 9C and which is obtained by blending the cumulative distribution function Fig. 9A with the straight line function Fig. 1B (page 15 lines 24-25)of at least one image and/or of at least one image detail (Fig. 1A-1C: FIGS. 1A through 1C are images depicting an office scene captured at different exposure settings. Thus FIGS. 1A through 1C have different image detail, and the optimal (page 15 line 27-29))

approximating the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (page 15 lines 24-25, blending the cumulative distribution function Fig. 9A with the straight line function Fig. 9B) to the determined optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (page 16 lines 4-6 the blending process is carried out in order to determine the optimal cumulative distribution function (Fig.9C) that represent the composite image (Fig.10B) having the best possible improvement in exposure and tone uniformity. The optimal characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to the blended cumulative distribution function Fig. 9C), including approximation of the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity to the determined optional characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity through at least one

Art Unit: 2624

numerical approximation method and/or at least one segmenting method (Fig. 7B shows the steps of obtaining the optimal cumulative distribution function using numerical approximation that includes Normalization, Curve fitting, Histogram Equalization, Partial Equalization).

As to claim19, Szeliski teaches at least one of the gain (Fig. 9A, the slope the cumulative distribution function graph that measure the uniformity of an image), the offset, the integration time and at least one additional parameter (page 14 lines 16-17, the characteristic pixel value which is pixel brightness values of an image) for adjusting the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (page 14 lines 28-22, see also step 714, the pixel brightness values are adjuster in ordered to approximate the cumulative distribution function. The characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity corresponds to the cumulative distribution function graph) of the at least one pixel of the at least one image sensor (the approximation is carried out by adjusting exposure and tone of the set of images (Figs. 1A-1C) captured at different exposure using the exposure sensors of the Kodak CDCS-488 camera (see page 1 line 25) is adjusted, the at least one additional parameter for adjusting the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity being at least one of (i) at least one parameter for adjusting the number of segments of the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity (Fig. 7B, adjusting the summed e brightness values of values of an image), (ii) at least one parameter for adjusting the position of the segments of the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity, (iii) at least one parameter for adjusting the size of the segments of the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity, and (iv) at least one parameter for adjusting the at least one function(page 15 lines 18-28, blend the normalized cumulative distribution function with a straight line function. The at least one function

Art Unit: 2624

corresponds to the linear function (Fig. 9B), at least one parameter corresponds to the pixel brightness values of an image that t is used to approximate a uniform distribution of cumulative distribution function (page 13 lines 9-10))

As to claim 20, Szeliski teaches at least one of the functions is a linear function (see Fig.9B).

As to claim 21, Szeliski teaches the characteristic curve of the exposure sensitivity of the at least one pixel of the at least one image sensor is adjusted as a function of image signals (page 13 lines 8-18, histogram equalization is a process for mapping pixel brightness values of an image to approximate a uniform distribution. Thus, distribution function is adjusted based on the image signal generated by camera 56) from at least two image sensors, including at least one stereo camera (page 1 lines 21-25, FIGS. 1A through 1C show three images of an office desk and window, taken at different exposures.

Specifically, these images were captured with a Kodak DCS-40 camera, by adjusting the exposure up and down by two "stops". The two image sensors corresponds the Kodak up and down exposure sensors, and the stereo camera corresponds to the Kodak DCS-40 camera).

Regarding claims 22-27, all claimed limitation are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claims 15-21.

As to claim 28, Szeliski teaches A computer program executable on a computer (Fig. 2 page 7 lines 5-18, and page 23 claim 13), comprising:

a program code arrangement (page 23 claim 13);

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding the remaining section of claim 28, all claimed limitation are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claim1.

Regarding claims 29-34, all claimed limitation are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claims16-21.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Mekonen Bekele whose telephone number is 571-270-3915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:00AM to 5:50 PM Eastern Time. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor AHMED SAMIR can be reached on (571)272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-237-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private

Status information for unpublished application is available through Privet PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217-919 (tool-free)

/MEKONEN BEKELE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 July 19, 2009

/Samir A. Ahmed/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624